**Human Participant Research Resumption
Review Checklist**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| YES | NO | N/A | ITEM  |
|  |  |  | Do the benefits of the research outweigh the risks to participants? |
|  |  |  | Have sufficient steps been taken to verify the study population, and any guardians, parents, support people are free of known COVID-19 medical risk factors? |
|  |  |  | Has travel been approved (if applicable)? |
|  |  |  | Are screening procedures adequate? |
|  |  |  | Has the proposal demonstrated that remote procedures will be used when feasible? |
|  |  |  | Will the *Important Information about COVID-19 and Research Participation Sheet* be given to each participant prior to conducting informed consent? |
|  |  |  | Has the researcher confirmed that they will follow the general procedures outlined in the [**Environmental Health and Safety Services Return to Research Guidance**](https://research.syr.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/Syracuse-University-Return-to-Research-Guidance_Update-June-2.pdf)**?** |
|  |  |  | If close contact is required between the study team and participant (< 6 feet), or if face coverings cannot be worn, sufficient efforts have been taken to: |
|  |  |  | Minimize the total number of individuals in a space |
|  |  |  | Limit duration to 15 minutes |
|  |  |  | Wear a face shield in addition to a face mask when feasible |
|  |  |  | Revise physical spaces (adding plexiglass barriers, etc.) to reduce contact?  |
|  |  |  | Adopt outdoor data collection when feasible? |
|  |  |  | If biological samples will be collected and handled by the study team, have sufficient protections for the study team (PPE, physical handling) been described? |
|  |  |  | Has the researcher confirmed cleaning and disinfection or equipment will be consistent with [**Environmental Health and Safety Services Return to Research Guidance**](https://research.syr.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/Syracuse-University-Return-to-Research-Guidance_Update-June-2.pdf)**.** |
|  |  |  | Has the researcher confirmed the cleaning and disinfection for cleaning shared surfaces will be consistent with [**Environmental Health and Safety Services Return to Research Guidance**](https://research.syr.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/Syracuse-University-Return-to-Research-Guidance_Update-June-2.pdf)**.** |
|  |  |  | Is the procedure for cancelling a participant’s visit appropriate and does it address undue influence (i.e. might participants attempt to participate to receive compensation despite not feeling well)? |
|  |  |  | Has this plan been shared with study team members? |
|  |  |  | Is the maximum number of study team members (2, only 1 <6ft of participant) appropriate and has this number been confirmed with the appropriate university official?  |
|  |  |  | Where there are multiple studies, have the researchers considered having temporal separation and distinct groups of people, to minimize cross-transmission between different study groups? |
|  |  |  | Have the researchers considered restroom usage and cleaning? |

Study Team Isolation Contingency Plan

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| YES | NO | N/A | ITEM  |
|  |  |  | Is the study team’s isolation contingency plan sufficiently considered? |

Review process:

1. Internal review by the Department or Unit Head. If any of these factors are not sufficiently considered, departmental review should provide feedback to researchers and encourage them to revise-and-resubmit their plans, which can be re-reviewed at the department level.